

TOWNSHIP 26S RANGE 2W SEC. 22

PLSS # 372273

Date: 6/5/2023 By: X

This survey consists of:

Map: _____

DOUGLAS COUNTY OREGON

DATE 5-11-23

Narrative: _____

Corner Rpt: C567/56-16

Corner Designation

S.W COR S22 ONLY

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SURVEYOR

Monument(s) Found: (Condition and Source)

5/8" I. Rebar with 2 1/2" Alum
CAP up 6"

___ Condition report

___ Not Found

Renewed

___ Unrecorded

___ Surveyed In

Previous Renewals

GLD Resurvey 1916-1918

Vol 10 Pg 4326-7

DCSD CS 54/40-61

EDWARDS 2004 M 143-66

MONUMENT DETAIL

ROSEBURG RESOURCES

T26 R2W

SW | S22

22

L52339

2004

COMPANY

Accessories Found

Mostly BURNED AWAY 6" X 1.5 FT CEDAR
STUB S50E 14.2 FT

SAWN BURNED 14" X 6 FT D. FIRE STUMP
N 29° W 22.3 FT (REC 22.9 FT) HEALED
SINGLE BLAZE

6 FT Steel Posts bears North 2 FT
AND EAST 2 FT

New Accessories

BURNED BROKEN OFF 60" X 6 FT CEDAR
STUMP S 56° W 20.0 FT 3 1/2" MAG
NAIL RIGHT SIDE CENTER

SAWD BURNED 32" X 3 FT D. FIR
STUMP N 4 1/2° W 23.4 FT 3 1/2" MAG
NAIL TOP CENTER

Sketch of Monument, Accessories, and General Area

DCRS COORDINATE SYSTEM
COTTAGE GROVE - CANYONVILLE
ZONE
N 164 784.289
E 270 685.426

Location & Comments

CORNER IS ON
VERY STEEP ROCKY
NORTH SLOPE IN
BURNED YOUNG
KIPROD
AREA BURST

9-2020
Comp. Decline. 14 1/2° E
Agency DCSD
Party Chief S. F. MAUSE
Note keeper
Witnesses J. LORSUNG

REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL
LAND SURVEYOR

Ronald A. Quimby

OREGON
JULY 14, 1978
RONALD A. QUIMBY
1654

EXPIRES: 12/31/2024

CS 67/56-16

RENEWED 5/11/23

TOWNSHIP 26 RANGE 02 POINT IDENTIFIER # 372273

PRIMARY CORNER DESCRIPTION SW Cor. Sec. 22 only

Alias _____ Description OCRS CANYONVILLE COTTAGE GROVE
 Alias _____ Description COORDINATES
 Alias _____ Description _____
 Alias _____ Description 164784.289 N
270685.426 E

MOSTLY BURNED AWAY
 STUB 6" X 1.5 FT.
 SAW BURNED 14" X 6 FT. STUMP

Set - 5/8" x 36" steel rebar with 2 1/2" dia., alum. cap. MARKED AS BELOW PROT 6"
 6" dia., cedar bears S. 50° E., 14.2 ft. scribed "S22 BT" BLAZE GONE
 7" dia., D. Fir bears N. 29° W., 22.83 ft. scribed "S22 BT" FHSB
 Note - No trees available in sec. 22 due to slide.
 6 ft. steel posts bears North 2 ft. & East 2 ft.)

NEW REF.S

BURNED, BROKEN OFF 60" X 6 FT. CEDAR STUMP, 3 1/2" MAGNAYL R.S.C S56°W, 20.2 FT.

SAWN, BURNED 32" X 3 FT. D. FIR STUMP, " " " TOP N04 1/2° W, 23.4 FT CENTER

CORNER IS ON VERY STEEP ROCKY NORTH SLOPE IN BURNED DEAD YOUNG REPROD.

ALUM, CAP STAMPING,
 " ROSEBURG RESOURCES "
 T26 R2W
 SW | S22
 522 |
 LS 2339
 2004
 COMPANY

CS 67/56-16
3/3

TOWNSHIP 26 RANGE 02 POINT IDENTIFIER # 372273

PRIMARY CORNER DESCRIPTION SW Cor. Sec. 22 only

Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____

GLO survey by Wm. N. Gibb under contract #783, dated Dec. 3, 1908 - (DCSO records Vol. 10 page(s) 4233-4)

Set granite stone, 6x8x14", 10" in the ground for cor. secs. 21, 22, 27 & 28, marked with 3 notches on E & 2 notches on S edges, from which

A laurel, 10" dia., bears N.49°E., 39 lks., mkd: T26S R2W S22 BT.

A cedar, 30" dia., bears S.15°E., 43 lks., mkd: T26S R2W S27 BT.

A maple, 7" dia., bears S.12°W., 75 lks., mkd: T26S R2W S28 BT.

A laurel, 8" dia., bears N.18°W., 33 lks., mkd: T26S R2W S21 BT.

GLO resurvey by Fred Mensch, U.S. Cadastral Engineer; under Instructions dated May 25, 1916 & April 16, 1918, - (DCSO records Vol. 10 Page(s) 4326-7)

Set an iron post, 3 ft. long, 2" dia., 24" in the ground, for SW cor. of sec. 22, with brass cap marked:

T26S
R2W
S22
1918

from which

A fir, 10" dia. bears N.69°E. 37 lks. mkd: T26S R2W S22 BT
Cor. established by Deputy Gibb as cor. of secs. 21, 22, 27 & 28 bears N.48°08'E., 10.34 chs.

I destroy the cor. established by me in 1916 as SW cor. of sec. 22; also marks on BT.

DC Surveyors Office - V R Tracy - 8/15/1967 - C.S. 54/40-61

Not found - SW corner of sec. 22. Note land side took out the corner; Fd brass cap 106.0 ft. down hill on top of the ground

David Edwards - For: Roseburg Resources. - 2/2004 - M 143-66

Several options for positional resolution of the missing SW corner of Sec. 22 were analyzed. Considering that the nearest existing corners are closing corners a bearing extension intersection are rejected. Comparison of my returned distances relative to the 1918 GLO resurvey shows inconsistent disharmony. Therefore a distance-distance intersection was also rejected. A broken boundary adjustment using the 2 nearest monumented corners was ultimately utilized to place the missing corner. cont.