

Renewal # 58 <sup>523</sup> 526 T315 R2W

Found:

BRASS CAP AT  $\frac{1}{4}$  COR (along side  
COR Stone

(27" W FIR 532E 25' FT)

SCRIBED 526, BT

11" CEDAR N48E 143 FT

SCRIBED (425 23 CS BT)

Renewal

REMARKED BRASS CAP TO REFER  
TO SEC 23 T315 R2W

COUNTY SURVEYORS OFFICE

T315 R2W

$\frac{1}{4}$  523

1966

NEW BT

6 CEDAR N41W 79 FT

SCRIBED  $\frac{1}{4}$  523 CS BT

(NOTE: 27" W FIR 532E 25' FT

DESTROYED) SLIPPING 3-16-70

JMP  
EWD  
DGH  
VPP  
WRP

O.N.  
J.P.

#140 — Rm. #58 attached

C. S. File No. 54/28-112

523  
326

T 315 R 2W

Renewed

Found  
orig. stone at  $\frac{1}{4}$  cor NW  $\frac{1}{4}$  on  
North Face

12" D. Fir N 51 W 12 E  
O.B.T. Bottom sagg opened for "BT"

12" D. Fir S 70 E 12 E  
No trace of this tree

Re Survey Trees: (orig.) (1902)

16" W. Fir N 0 W 27 S 32 E 25 L  
Scribe visible 35 26 BT"

16" Laurel N 20 E 25 L  
Hole in ground good bearing  
Distance

Renewed

Along Side Stone Set Brass cap  
at  $\frac{1}{4}$  cor NW

County Surveyors office

T 315 R 2W

$\frac{1}{4}$  523  
526  
1966

New Bearing Tree

11" Cedar N 98 E 14 W

Scribed  $\frac{1}{4}$  S 23 CS BT

6-28-66

*[Handwritten signature]*

58

X O. K. J. V. B.

C. S. File No. 54/22-112

DIETZEN NO. 385-6



IN REPLY REFER TO:  
9180.1 (942)

# United States Department of the Interior

## BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

OREGON STATE OFFICE  
P.O. Box 2965 (729 N.E. Oregon Street)  
Portland, Oregon 97208

SEP 25 1972

COUNTY SURVEYOR  
DOUGLAS COUNTY, ORE.

September 20, 1972

Mr. Francis L. Ingram  
Douglas County Surveyor  
County of Douglas  
Roseburg, Oregon 97470

Dear Mr. Ingram:

Your letter dated September 11, 1972, concerning the  $\frac{1}{4}$  section corner of sections 23 and 26, T. 31 S., R. 2 W., has been reviewed.

The line between sections 23 and 26 was surveyed by Dennis Hathorn, in 1858, and he called the corner of sections 22, 23, 26, and 27 common, as was the  $\frac{1}{4}$  section corner of sections 23 and 26.

In 1906-08, Fred Mensch surveyed north on the line between sections 26 and 27, and in his field notes, states he fell 1.34 chains, east of the corner of sections 22, 23, 26, and 27. He set a closing corner at the point of intersection and destroyed the marks on Hathorn's trees which referred to sections 26 and 27, thus making Hathorn's corner corner one of minimum control for sections 22 and 23 only.

Mensch then retraced the south boundary of section 23 and finding the original  $\frac{1}{4}$  section corner in a badly decayed state, reestablished this corner, marking it for both sections. However, examination of Mensch's plat reveals that the north portion of section 26 is lotted. By examination of the plat we find the lot acreages are based upon the  $\frac{1}{4}$  section corner of section 26 being at mid point on the north boundary of the section. It is our opinion, then, that  $\frac{1}{4}$  section corner of sections 23 and 26 is not common.

Sincerely yours,

*William W. Glenn*

William W. Glenn  
Chief, Branch of Cadastral  
Surveys

C. S. File No. 54/28-113