

Survey No. 305

CHAINS

Survey of Conflict with the Cobb Land.

Beginning at post No. 2 + 1 M.S. 305, previously described.

Thence N. 45°00' W.

Along the southwesterly boundary of the Oregon Nickel Quartz Mine.

Var. 19°30' E.

233.00 To Cor. No. 3 of Oregon Nickel Quartz claim; post marked No 3 M.S. 305, previously described.

Thence N. 45°00' E.

Along northwesterly boundary of Oregon Nickel Quartz Mining claim.

233.00 To Cor. No. 3 + 2 M.S. 305, previously described.

Thence South

Along the east boundary of Cobb land.

Var. 19°30' E.

328.00 To point of beginning. Containing 0.62 acres.

Total area of the Ashley Consolidated Quartz Mine claim	24.79	acres
In conflict with Cobb land	<u>0.62</u>	"

Area not in conflict	-----	24.17	"
----------------------	-------	-------	---

Character and Value of Improvements

I hereby certify that the value of the labor and improvements upon this claim No. 305, placed thereon by the claimant and his grantors is not less than five hundred dollars and said improvements consist of: six open cuts, to wit:

Cut No. 1, 34 ft. long, average width, 8 ft., average depth, 8 ft. \$2.50 per foot	\$85.00
Cut No. 2, length 12 ft., average width 10 ft., average depth 6 ft., all rock, \$10 per foot	\$120.00
Cut No. 3, 27 ft. long, average width 8 ft., average depth 6 ft., cost per foot, \$2.50	\$67.50
Cut No. 4, length of cut 34 ft., average width 14 ft., average depth 5 ft., principally rock. average cost \$6 per foot	\$204.00
Cut No. 5, length 33 ft., average width 8 ft., average depth 7 ft., half dirt and half rock, average cost \$7.50 per foot	\$247.50
Cut No. 6, length 20 ft., average width 8 ft., average depth 7 ft., dirt and loose rock, cost per foot \$3.00	<u>\$60.00</u>
	\$784.00

Explanation

The location notice of Will Q. Brown and Stilly Riddle claim known as the Triangle and Oregon Nickel Quartz mining claims describes the claims embraced in this consolidation survey as lode claims.

In the prosecution of this survey and after making careful examination of the premises I find that the ore body is not to be defined as a lode or rock in place but as a deposit. This will explain why no survey of lode is shown in these field notes.

W. F. Briggs, U. S. Deputy Mineral Surveyor