

Resurvey of
South Boundary of T. 30 S., R. 4 W.

CHAINS		
	<p>A Laurel, 10 ins. diam., bears S.83*W., 33 lks. dist., marked T 31 S R 5 W S 1 B T and</p>	
	<p>Run thence S.89*E., being equal to course E. of Deputy Ford's lines. Ascend S. hillside; find all trees well blazed.</p>	
7.00	<p>A canyon, course S.60*W.; begin steep ascent.</p>	+70
12.00	<p>The trees are poorly blazed, often with but one blaze.</p>	
18.00	<p>Cannot find a single tree blazed, although there are enough along the line; no under brush.</p>	
30.00	<p>Top of spur, course N. and S. Descend, in heavy Fir timber, no more blazes.</p>	+700
40.00	<p>There is no post or bearing trees to be found, even not a blaze, although there are plenty of Firs around. This confirms the statement of Mr. Briggs, County Surveyor, who has lived at Canyonville for over 35 years, that Deputy Ford did not run this line; neither the South Bdy. of T 30 S., R. 5 W., as he has never discovered any marks.</p>	
	<p style="text-align: right;">June 5th, 1889.</p>	
<p>Subdivisions of T. 30 S., R. 4 W. Same Deputy and Contract.</p>		
<p>Not being able to find the Cor. to Secs. 35, 36, 1 and 2, or any bearing trees as described in the field notes of original survey, as furnished me, neither the Cor. to Secs. 35, 36, 25 and 26 or its bearing trees; the topography given in the field notes being totally inconsistent with the facts, I proceeded to Cor. to Secs. 23, 24, 25 and 26, the post of which is gone, but the only bearing tree left standing, although dead, is the B. Oak, 18 ins. diam.,</p>		