

Resurvey of South Boundary T. 24 S., R. 8 W.

CHAINS

In order to ascertain where the error exists, I go to SE cor. T 24 S R 8 W., run West, retracing South bdy. of tp. I found no material difference in either chaining or alignment until I reach the South bdy., Sec. 33, where in running West from cor. to Secs. 3, 4, 33 and 34, I found the $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. cor. at 39.65 chs. and the cor. to Secs. 4, 5, 32 and 33 at 72.25 chs. Continuing West I found the South bdys. of Secs. 32 and 31 to also be short in chaining. The error in chaining on former survey will necessitate a re-survey of the South bdys. of Secs. 33, 32 and 31, and the re-establishment of a new set of corners, in order to allow a proper sub-division of T 24 S R 8 W.

I, therefore, commence at the cor. to Secs. 3, 4, 33 and 34 on the South bdy. T 24 S R 8 W., which is a post 4 ins. sq., 2 ft. above ground, marked: T 24 S S 34 on NE., T 24 S S 3 on SE., R 8 W S 4 on SW and S33 on NW faces, with 3 notches on East and West edges, from which,

A Fir, 18 ins. diam., bears N.32*E., 100 lks. dist. marked T 24 S R 8 W S 34 - B. T.

A Fir, 60 ins. diam., bears S.89*E., 10 lks. dist., marked T 25 S R 8 W S 3 - B. T.

A Fir, 48 ins. diam., bears S. 65*W., 34 lks. dist., marked T. 25 S R 8 W S 4 - B. T.

A Fir, 18 ins. diam., bears N. 60*W., 48 lks. dist., marked T 24 S R 8 W S 33 - B. T.

Thence I run

West, on South boundary Sec. 33

Va. 20*E.

Through heavy timber and dense undergrowth.

31.00 Spring branch, 3 lks. wide, flows N.

39.65 The $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. cor. South bdy. Sec. 33, which is a post 4 ins. sq., 2 ft. above ground, marked $\frac{1}{4}$ S on N face, from which