

Subdivisions of T. 24 S., R. 6 W.

CHAINS	
	<p>some very steep rocky mountainous land</p> <p>Timber, green fir, cedar, laurel, mostly of good quality</p> <p>Very dense undergrowth of fir, cedar, hazel, arrowwood, fern, briar; 81.57 chs. green and dead timber and brush</p> <p>Soil, 1st, 2nd & 4th rates</p> <p style="text-align: right;">August 15, 1891</p>
	<p>The corner post to secs. 19, 20, 29 & 30 being gone, I reestablish the same by setting sand stone 12x6x4 ins. 36 ins. in the ground with 2 notches on the South and 5 notches on the East edges. Over this I set a cedar post 4 ft. long, 4 ins. sq. 24 ins. in the ground, marked T 24 S S 20 on NE, R 6 W S 29 on SE, S 30 on SW, S 19 on NW faces, with 2 notches on the South, and 5 notches on the East edges, from which</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">An oak, 9 ins. diam., bears N.42$\frac{1}{2}$*E., 550 lks. dist.</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">Marked T 24 S R 6 W S 20 B T</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">An oak, 14 ins. diam., bears N.32$\frac{3}{4}$*W., 460 lks. dist. Marked T 24 S R 6 W S 19 B T</p> <p>No other bearing tree, L. Van Asbury says he chopped down and burned a much decayed and burned stump on which he says the bearing tree stood, bears S.2*45'W., 1065 lks. dist.</p> <p>There are remains of other stumps and I think it doubtful if the stump he points out is the one on which the bearing tree stood</p> <p>Thence I run</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">S.89*48'W on random line bet. secs. 19 and 30</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">Va. 20*55'E</p>
40.00	Maple tree 14 ins. diam., temporary $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. cor.
81.58	Intersect N & S line 12 lks. N. of cor. to sections 19, 24 25 & 30. The post being gone, I reestablish same by setting a cedar post, 4 ft. long, 4 ins. sq. 24 ins. in ground, marked T 24 S S 19 on NE, R 6 W S 30 on SE, R