

PRIMARY CORNER DESCRIPTION 1/4 Cor. for Secs. 17 and 20

Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____
Alias _____ Description _____

GLO survey by W. S. Chapman, Deputy Surveyor, under Contract #234, dated June 24, 1875 - (DCSO records Vol. 14 Page(s) 5825)

Set post 4 ft. long, 4" dia., 1 ft. in the ground for ¼ sec. cor., from which
A Myrtle 12" dia., bears S.55°W., 38 lks. dist.
A Fir 16" dia., bears North, 10 lks. dist.

BLM Resurvey by Lynn Roseberry; Daniel Berry; Gordon Popken and Albert Garrison, Cadastral Surveyors, under Group #'s 691 and 764, Surveyed June, 1969/April 1973 - (DCSO records Vol. 36 Page(s) 15088)

Point for the ¼ sec. cor., at proportionate distance; there is no remaining evidence of the original corner.
Set an iron post, 28" long, 2 1/2" dia., 24" in the ground, with brass cap mkd:
T 28 S R 8 W
1/4 S 17
 S 20
 1972

from which
A Fir 12" dia., bears North, 57 lks. dist. mkd. ¼ S17 BT.
A Fir 10" dia., bears S.9°W., 50 lks. dist. mkd. ¼ S20 BT.

Fd. OK, July 9, 1985 by R. Geisler - C.S. File 63/22-1
Note: BTs found to be Hemlocks.

David Edwards - For: Seneca Jones Timber Co. - Feb. 2012 - C.S. File 65/75-2

After running a random traverse between the NE and NW section corners and the 1972 BLM. Proportioned North ¼ corner of section 20 I find that said ¼ corner is 21 ft north of the true line. That being outside acceptable tolerances for 1972 I reject the BLM ¼ corner and establish a new monument at the midpoint. The erroneous BLM monument bears N.3°41'15"E., 20.86 ft. Two 1972 bearing trees were fd with healed blazes and are situated as follows from my new monument:
Set - 5/8"x30" steel rebar with 2½" diam. Alum. Cap mkd. As per 2009 BLM manual and "LS 2339" and "2012" set for ¼ cor. At midpoint.
16" dia. Hemlock N.1°20"E., 58.4 ft.
17" diam. Hemlock S.17°58'W., 12.4 ft.